Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 622-5511

3

4

6

7

5

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

the final and complete versions of the Consultant Reports.

BACKGROUND

(3) The timing and conditions under which Interveners will be permitted access to

Under the Thirteenth Order, if Premera elected to submit an amended Form A application, it was required to do so by October 15, 2003. It was also required to submit any proposed amendments to the consultants' reports "to address factual errors" on the same date. Interveners have been advised that Premera did not submit an amended Form A but did submit proposed changes addressing purported factual errors. We are further advised that Premera has indicated to the OIC Staff that it intends to offer substantive changes to the proposed conversion transaction with the OIC Staff. Our understanding of these substantive changes is limited because they have been discussed in secret. Nevertheless, we have learned that Premera is suggesting structural changes to the proposed conversion transaction in order to address certain issues raised in the consultants' reports. We further understand that Premera is proposing that between now and October 27, 2003, when the final consultant reports are due, it will privately negotiate with the OIC Staff to resolve these issues.

While Interveners have no idea whether the OIC Staff are receptive to Premera's overtures, it is clear to us that any attempt by Premera to privately negotiate significant changes in the form of the proposed transaction (which would presumably be unveiled for the first time when the final consultant reports are submitted on October 27 but could even occur on the eve of the administrative hearing), would directly conflict with the

¹ The Commissioner's Eighth Order ("Protective Order") and Fifteenth Order necessarily constrain Interveners from divulging confidential and proprietary information in this public filing.

Commissioner's commitment to meaningful public involvement in the regulatory review process and would also deny Interveners their statutory right to meaningfully protect their significant interests. Without a meaningful opportunity for the OIC consultants, the Interveners and their experts and the public to fully review the impact of such changes, the benefits of the adjudicative process that the Holding Company Acts require will be lost and public confidence in the regulatory process significantly compromised. In short, the Commissioner should not allow any back-room negotiations about the form of the proposed conversion transaction or the structure of the resulting entities.

In order to maintain the integrity of the process, and to remain faithful to the intent of the Holding Company Acts (as construed by Judge Casey), Interveners request a ruling by the Commissioner that, if Premera suggests or agrees to any substantive change to the structure of the proposed conversion transaction, such a change will be treated as the submission of a new Form A, thereby triggering a new review period under the Acts.

In addition, to insure that Interveners are able to meaningfully respond to whatever changes Premera is proposing to the Consultant Reports or to the form of the transaction, the Commissioner should require Premera to provide to the Interveners complete copies of the comments or suggestions it has made to the OIC Staff regarding the consultants' reports. Interveners have already served a request for production on Premera, seeking those documents. Their production could be subject to the existing Protective Order, if Premera believes that is appropriate.

In addition, the Commissioner should order Premera to produce to Interveners the drafts of the Alaska consultant reports, which we understand will be delivered to Premera

later this week. This will allow Interveners, who are parties to these proceedings, to have access to the same information as Premera and the OIC Staff. As with the Washington consultant reports, Interveners have already served a request for production of these documents on Premera and do not object to appropriate designations under the Protective Order.

Finally, the Commissioner should address an ambiguity in the Thirteenth Order, which provides for delivery of the final consultants' reports on October 27, 2003, but does not specify whether Interveners will be afforded access to the unredacted version of those reports. In the Fifteenth Order, the Commissioner ruled that Interveners should, subject to the Protective Order, have access to the complete draft reports. Interveners now request (in light of concerns expressed by the OIC Staff) that the Thirteenth Order be clarified by expressly ruling that Interveners will be provided the complete versions of the final consultant reports on October 27, 2003. Interveners have no objection to obtaining those documents via request for production directed to Premera.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Interveners respectfully request entry of an Order as follows:

- 1. If Premera requests or agrees to substantive changes to the structure of the proposed conversion transaction, the proposed changes will not be considered unless Premera submits a revised Form A filing, which (if deemed complete) will trigger a new review period under the Holding Acts;
- 2. That Premera be ordered to immediately produce to Interveners unredacted copies of its submissions to the OIC Staff in response to the consultants' reports. Premera